

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID
9 TRANSIT DISTRICT,

No. C 04-04632 SI

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 WILLIAM D. SPENCER, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14
15
16
17

18 **SPECIAL VERDICT**

19 **United States District Court**

20 We, the jury in the above entitled action, find unanimously as follows for our special verdict:
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

///

1 **AS TO DEFENDANT WILLIAM F. SPENCER:**

2
3 Question No. 1: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
4 demonstrate that defendant William F. Spencer violated Subsection (1) of the California False Claims
5 Act? Yes _____ No _____

6
7 Question No. 2: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
8 demonstrate that defendant William F. Spencer violated Subsection (2) of the California False Claims
9 Act? Yes _____ No _____

10
11 Question No. 3: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
12 demonstrate that defendant William F. Spencer violated Subsection (3) of the California False Claims
13 Act? Yes _____ No _____

14
15
16 If your answers to Questions 1, 2 and 3 were all “No,” please go to Question No. 5 . Otherwise, please
17 answer the next question:

18 **United States District Court**

19 Question No. 4: For how many of the 97 progress payment applications did BART prove that William
20 F. Spencer is liable under one or more of these Subsections? _____ (number)

21
22
23 Please answer the next question.

24
25
26
27 ///

28

1 **AS TO DEFENDANT WILLIAM McGAHAN:**

2
3 Question No. 5: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
4 demonstrate that defendant William McGahan violated Subsection (1) of the California False Claims
5 Act? Yes _____ No _____

6
7 Question No. 6: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
8 demonstrate that defendant William McGahan violated Subsection (2) of the California False Claims
9 Act? Yes _____ No _____

10
11 Question No. 7: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
12 demonstrate that defendant William McGahan violated Subsection (3) of the California False Claims
13 Act? Yes _____ No _____

14
15
16 If your answers to Questions 5, 6, and 7 were all “No,” please go to Question No. 9. Otherwise, please
17 answer the next question:

18 **United States District Court**

19 Question No. 8: For how many of the 97 progress payment applications did BART prove that William
20 McGahan is liable under one or more of these Subsections? _____ (number)

21
22 Please answer the next question.

23
24
25
26
27 ///

28

AS TO DEFENDANT BRUCE F. BONAR:

Question No. 9: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to demonstrate that defendant Bruce F. Bonar violated Subsection (1) of the California False Claims Act?

Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 10: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to demonstrate that defendant Bruce F. Bonar violated Subsection (2) of the California False Claims Act?

Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 11: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to demonstrate that defendant Bruce F. Bonar violated Subsection (3) of the California False Claims Act?

Yes _____ No _____

If your answers to Questions 9, 10, and 11 were all “No,” please go to Question No. 13 . Otherwise, please answer the next question:

United States District Court

Question No. 12: For how many of the 97 progress payment applications did BART prove that Bruce F. Bonar is liable under one or more of these Subsections? _____ (number)

Please answer the next question.

///

1 **AS TO DEFENDANT F. W. SPENCER & SON, INC.:**

2
3 Question No. 13: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
4 demonstrate that defendant F. W. Spencer & Son, Inc. violated Subsection (1) of the California False
5 Claims Act? Yes _____ No _____

6
7 Question No. 14: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to
8 demonstrate that defendant F. W. Spencer & Son, Inc violated Subsection (2) of the California False
9 Claims Act? Yes _____ No _____

10
11
12 If your answers to Questions 13 and 14 were both "No," please go to Question No. 16 . Otherwise,
13 please answer the next question:

14
15 Question No. 15: For how many of the 97 progress payment applications did BART prove that F. W.
16 Spencer & Son, Inc is liable under one or more of these Subsections? _____ (number)

17
18 Please answer the next question.

United States District Court

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 ///

28

AS TO DEFENDANT BRISBANE MECHANICAL CO.:

Question No. 16: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to demonstrate that defendant Brisbane Mechanical Co. violated Subsection (1) of the California False Claims Act? Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 17: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements required to demonstrate that defendant Brisbane Mechanical Co. violated Subsection (2) of the California False Claims Act? Yes _____ No _____

If your answers to Questions 16 and 17 were both “No,” please go to Question No. 19 . Otherwise, please answer the next question:

Question No. 18: For how many of the 97 progress payment applications did BART prove that Brisbane Mechanical Co. is liable under one or more of these Subsections? _____ (number)

United States District Court

If you did not answer any of the preceding questions “Yes,” then do not answer any further questions; instead, please go to the end of this form, sign and date it where indicated, and inform the Court that you have reached a verdict. Otherwise, please answer the next question.

///

OTHER DAMAGES:

Question No. 19: Did BART prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the violation(s) of the California False Claims Act by any defendant caused BART damages as defined in the instructions?

Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 20: If your answer to Question 19 was "Yes," what is the amount of damages BART proved? _____

Please answer the following questions:

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS:

Question No. 21 Did defendants prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that BART knew or should have known of the fraudulent or false nature of defendants' acts, before November 1, 2001?

Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 22: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants fraudulently concealed the fraudulent or false nature of their acts, so that BART, even acting reasonably, did not know of the fraudulent or false nature of defendants' acts before November 1, 2001?

Yes _____ No _____

LACHES:

Question No. 23 Did defendants prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that BART delayed bringing this action for an unreasonable length of time and that defendants were prejudiced by the delay in bringing this action?

Yes _____ No _____

Dated: _____

FOREPERSON